



(19) **United States**

(12) **Patent Application Publication** (10) **Pub. No.: US 2004/0172258 A1**

**Dominach et al.**

(43) **Pub. Date: Sep. 2, 2004**

(54) **TECHNIQUES FOR DISAMBIGUATING SPEECH INPUT USING MULTIMODAL INTERFACES**

**Publication Classification**

(51) **Int. Cl.<sup>7</sup> ..... G10L 11/00**

(52) **U.S. Cl. .... 704/277**

(76) **Inventors: Richard F. Dominach**, Lincroft, NJ (US); **Sastry Isukapalli**, North Plainfield, NJ (US); **Sandeep Sibal**, Mumbai (IN); **Shirish Vaidya**, Herndon, VA (US)

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Correspondence Address:  
**WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP**  
**ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR**  
**1650 MARKET STREET**  
**PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 (US)**


A technique is disclosed for disambiguating speech input for multimodal systems by using a combination of speech and visual I/O interfaces. When the user's speech input is not recognized with sufficiently high confidence, a the user is presented with a set of possible matches using a visual display and/or speech output. The user then selects the intended input from the list of matches via one or more available input mechanisms (e.g., stylus, buttons, keyboard, mouse, or speech input). These techniques involve the combined use of speech and visual interfaces to correctly identify user's speech input. The techniques disclosed herein may be utilized in computer devices such as PDAs, cell-phones, desktop and laptop computers, tablet PCs, etc.


(21) **Appl. No.: 10/733,793**

(22) **Filed: Dec. 10, 2003**


**Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) **Provisional application No. 60/432,227**, filed on Dec. 10, 2002.





**FIG. 1**



**FIG. 2**

**TECHNIQUES FOR DISAMBIGUATING SPEECH INPUT USING MULTIMODAL INTERFACES**

**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED CASES**

[0001] This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/432,227, entitled “Techniques for Disambiguating Speech Input Using Multimodal Interfaces,” filed on Dec. 10, 2002.

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

[0002] The present invention relates generally to the field of multi-modal computing (and other electronic) devices, and, more particularly, to techniques for disambiguating speech input using multimodal interfaces.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

[0003] An increasing number of software and hardware based computer systems are using Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) technology to allow a user to navigate through and control a wide variety of applications via speech input. ASR systems recognize speech input, and map user utterance to tokens based on a grammar that defines allowable speech inputs and rules for interpreting the speech. These tokens can then be processed by an application program.

[0004] ASR technology is powerful, but not fool-proof. That is, ASR systems do not always correctly recognize the user’s utterance. This can occur due to a variety of factors including noisy environments, the speaker’s accent and mispronunciation, microphone quality, etc.

[0005] ASR systems function by matching the user’s utterance against a grammar that defines the allowable words and phrases. The result of the ASR processing is a one or more matching tokens, each with a corresponding measure of confidence that the user’s utterance matches the text token. The presence of more than one matching token indicates that there is no clear best match to the user’s speech. We refer to the process of accurately determining the user’s intent using the list of returned matches (and the corresponding confidence values) as “disambiguation.”

[0006] Current voice based disambiguation mechanisms suffer from many limitations. Often the user is asked to repeat his utterance, which may lead to the same un-matched result. Sometimes, the list of alternatives is spoken back to the user using Text-to-Speech (TTS) technology. This is slow, and with poor TTS quality, the user may misinterpret the alternatives. This often results in user frustration, and is a slow and cumbersome process especially when the ASR engine is remote to the user (as is often the case with mobile scenarios).

[0007] Multimodal interfaces represent a new technology that facilitates the use of multiple modalities (or modes) to interact with an application. Multi-modal interfaces are potentially useful in improving the disambiguating of speech and substantially improving end user experience.

[0008] In view of the foregoing, there is a need for a system that overcomes the drawbacks of the prior art.

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

[0009] The present invention provides a Multimodal Disambiguation Mechanism (MDM), and particular multimodal

techniques to improve the speech recognition process. This mechanism can be applied to many types of applications, software and hardware architectures, device types, and network technologies.

[0010] A system according to the invention preferably includes one or more of the following components: user input and/or output devices with various modes; a speech recognition engine; and an application that uses the results of the speech recognition engine; and a multi-modal disambiguation engine. Preferably the different modes of input/output devices include visual and voice modes. Visual mode may use devices such as a visual display, stylus, pen, buttons, keyboard, touch pad, touch screen, mouse, etc. Voice mode may use devices such as a microphone (with an optional push-to-talk button), speakers, headphones, speakerphone, etc.

[0011] The speech recognition engine may use a grammar or rules to interpret speech input, and may generate tokens based on the speech input (although speech recognition systems based on other mechanisms may be used—the use of any speech recognition mechanism is within the spirit and scope of the invention). The multi-modal disambiguation engine receives the results from the speech recognition engine and performs disambiguation tasks. A token representing the disambiguated speech input is then provided to the application.

[0012] Other features of the invention are described below.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

[0013] The foregoing summary, as well as the following detailed description of preferred embodiments, is better understood when read in conjunction with the appended drawings. For the purpose of illustrating the invention, there is shown in the drawings exemplary constructions of the invention; however, the invention is not limited to the specific methods and instrumentalities disclosed. In the drawings:

[0014] **FIG. 1** is a functional block diagram of an example multi-modal disambiguation mechanism in accordance with aspects of the invention, and further shows a method of disambiguating speech; and

[0015] **FIG. 2** is a flow diagram of an example process for disambiguating speech.

**DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENTS**

[0016] **FIG. 1** shows an overview of an example multi-modal disambiguation mechanism (MDM) **102** in accordance with the invention, and demonstrates a context in which an MDM **102** may be used. The MDM **102** shown in **FIG. 1** is used to disambiguate an end user’s spoken utterances **104** so that the data represented by those utterances **104** may be used as input to application programs **106**.

[0017] In the scenario, the end user **108** uses a speech interface to issue commands to the application **106**. The user’s utterances **104** (e.g., spoken words) are input to a speech recognition engine **110** (SRE). If the SRE recognizes the utterance with sufficient confidence (based on the settings of the SRE and the application), the result correspond-

ing to this “unambiguous user input” **112** is sent to the application **106**. In the event that the SRE **110** does not recognize the user’s utterance **104** with high enough confidence, the multimodal disambiguation mechanism **102** is triggered to disambiguate the user’s utterances **104** and pass the result on to the application **106**. MDM **102** may disambiguate speech based on a set of parameters **114** that have been configured by a user or administrator. In case no user and application options and parameters **114** are set, the MDM may use a set of default parameters.

[**0018**] In the event of an unmatched utterance, the selection algorithm **116** (SA) receives as input the set of alternatives that SRE **110** believes are the best match to the user’s utterance. The SA **116** filters this set according to the options and parameters **114** (or a set of default parameters) and passes the result on to output generator **118**. Output generator **118** preferably presents to the user a multimodal disambiguation panel, which renders a set of recognition alternatives **120**, and the user **108** may use the panel to select the correct alternative. The user’s selection **122** is received by input handler **124**, which then passes the selected alternative to the output interface **126**. The user’s selection constitutes disambiguated input **128**, which is then sent to application **106**. The above-described process generally takes place transparently, in the sense that application **106** is generally unaware that the disambiguation process has taken place.

[**0019**] The following sections describe each of the components of the MDM in greater detail, providing exemplary specifications and requirements for their operation.

[**0020**] End user **108**. End user **108** accesses MDM **102** and application **106** via an end user device which has multimodal input and output capabilities. A non-exhaustive list of example devices includes the following: mobile phones; PDA’s; and handheld, desktop and laptop computers. MDM **102** and application **106** may reside on the end user device and/or may be available as a distributed service on other computer servers or workstations. MDM software on the end user device has the capability to enter, edit, and store the end user parameters **114**, which govern the operations of MDM **102**. The end user device has various multimodal input and output capabilities that may vary by the type of device. These capabilities are used by the MDM **102** software to present to the end user the recognition alternatives **120**, and to accept and interpret the user selection input. Various types of input can be accepted including speech input, keypad input, stylus input, touch input, based on the end user device capabilities.

[**0021**] Applications **106**. The application can be any speech assisted application, or an application that accepts traditional text or event input. The application (or sub-components of it) can be resident on the end user device and/or distributed across the end user device and other remote servers. The disambiguation mechanism can be entirely transparent to the user or portions of the MDM **102** can be implemented within the application **106**. Applications **106** can be written in various languages to use the MDM **102**. The application—through its configuration parameters—can control the disambiguation mechanism.

[**0022**] Multimodal Disambiguation Mechanism (MDM) **102**. A function of MDM **102** is to disambiguate the user’s utterances **104** in the event that these utterances **104** are not recognized with sufficient confidence. The SRE **110** can be

configured to return a set of alternatives **120** that the user’s utterance **104** matches. The MDM **102** uses these alternatives **120** and the corresponding confidence levels to disambiguate the user’s utterance **104**. The output of the disambiguation process (i.e., the disambiguated user input) is sent to the application **106**. The MDM **102** can be guided and controlled by user and application parameters **114**.

[**0023**] The MDM comprises multiple components (e.g., components **110**, **114**, **116**, **118**, **124**, and **126**) that can be resident on the end user device or can be distributed on other computers on a network. Portions of the MDM **102** can be resident in the application **104**. The components of the MDM **102** are described below.

[**0024**] Options and Parameters **114**. The end user **108** and the application **106** can both set parameters **114** to control the various sub-components of the MDM. Among other things, the MDM combines the end user and application parameters to drive the MDM process.

[**0025**] Speech Recognition Engine (SRE) **110**. The SRE **110** takes as input the user utterance **104**, a grammar to be matched against the utterance **104**, and a set of parameters **114**, such as the confidence thresholds governing unambiguous recognition and inclusion of close matches. If the utterance matches a token in the grammar with a confidence higher than the threshold for unambiguous recognition, the recognized utterance **104** is passed to the application. Otherwise, a set of alternatives with their confidence values is passed to the selection algorithm **116** to begin the disambiguation process. Preferably, any SRE **110** supporting automatic speech recognition that returns a list of alternatives with confidence values can be used.

[**0026**] Selection algorithm (SA) **116**. The selection algorithm **106** is invoked when the user’s utterance is recognized with accuracy below the confidence threshold for unambiguous recognition. The SA **116** calculates the alternatives to be passed to the user based on the individual confidence values, application and user parameters, though other factors may also be involved in determining the alternatives.

[**0027**] Output generator (OG) **118**. The output generator **118** takes as input the alternatives calculated by the SA **116**, and presents these to the end user who will select one alternative to be returned to the application. User and application parameters control the presentation to the user and the user disambiguation method (UDM) to be used. UDM’s are of three overall classes: visual only, voice only, and multimodal. Within these classes, there are multiple types of UDM’s that can be used.

[**0028**] Input Handler (IH) **124**. Once the multimodal disambiguation panel is presented to the user, the user will take an input action to choose one of the selections. The input action can be multimodal, i.e. the user can take voice or visual action, or perhaps a combination of the two. The IH **124** will handle this multimodal user selection and determine which alternative has been selected by the user. Allowable user actions are based on the types of UDM’s used. A combination of multimodal UDM’s can be utilized. It should be noted that it may be particularly useful to allow the user to interact with the alternatives in plural modes (e.g., visual and voice modes).

[**0029**] Output Interface (OI). Once the user **108** has selected one of the alternatives **120**, the selected alternative

will be passed to the application 106 as the Disambiguated User Input 128. The interaction will continue as if the selected alternative was originally recognized by the speech recognizer.

[0030] FIG. 2 shows an example process of disambiguating speech in the form of a flow diagram. Initially, speech input is received (202)— e.g., by a user speaking into a microphone. A speech recognition engine then attempts to recognize the speech. If the speech is recognized unambiguously (204), then the unambiguous speech is provided as input to an application (206). If, however, the speech is not recognized unambiguously, then a list of possible alternatives is determined (208). The list of alternatives may, for example, be the set of possible tokens identified by the speech recognition engine whose confidence value exceeds some defined threshold. The list of alternatives may also be filtered according to a set of parameters. The list of alternatives is presented to a user in a multi-modal interaction (210). The user then selects one of the alternatives, and the selected alternative is provided to the application as input (212).

[0031] It is noted that the foregoing examples have been provided merely for the purpose of explanation and are in no way to be construed as limiting of the present invention. While the invention has been described with reference to various embodiments, it is understood that the words which have been used herein are words of description and illustration, rather than words of limitations. Further, although the invention has been described herein with reference to particular means, materials and embodiments, the invention is not intended to be limited to the particulars disclosed herein; rather, the invention extends to all functionally equivalent structures, methods and uses, such as are within the scope of the appended claims. Those skilled in the art, having the benefit of the teachings of this specification, may effect numerous modifications thereto and changes may be made without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention in its aspects.

What is claimed:

- 1. A system for disambiguating speech input comprising:
  - a speech recognition component that receives recorded audio or speech input and generates:
    - one or more tokens corresponding to the speech input; and
    - for each of the one or more tokens, a confidence value indicative of the likelihood that the a given token correctly represents the speech input;
  - a selection component that identifies, according to a selection algorithm, which two or more tokens are to be presented to a user as alternatives;
  - one or more disambiguation components that perform an interaction with the user to present the alternatives and to receive a selection of alternatives from the user, the interaction taking place in at least a visual mode; and
  - an output interface that presents the selected alternative to an application as input.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the disambiguation components and the application reside on a single computing device.

3. The system of claim 1, wherein the disambiguation components and the application reside on separate computing devices.

4. The system of claim 1, wherein the one or more disambiguation components perform said interaction by presenting the user with alternatives in a visual mode, and by receiving the user's selection in a visual mode.

5. The system of claim 4, wherein the disambiguation components present the alternatives to the user in a visual form and allow the user to select from among the alternatives using a voice input.

6. The system of claim 1, wherein the one or more disambiguation components perform said interaction by presenting the user with alternatives in a visual mode, and by receiving the user's selection in either a visual mode, a voice mode, or a combination of visual mode and voice mode.

7. The system of claim 1, wherein the selection component filters the one or more tokens according to a set of parameters.

8. The system of claim 7, wherein the set of parameters is user specified.

9. The system of claim 1, wherein the one or more disambiguation components disambiguates the alternatives in plural iterative stages, whereby the first stage narrows the alternatives to a number of alternatives that is smaller than that initially generated by the selection component, but greater than one, and whereby the one or more disambiguation components operative iteratively to narrow the alternatives in subsequent iterative stages.

10. The system of claim 9, whereby the number of iterative stages is limited to a specified number.

11. A method of processing speech input comprising:

- receiving a speech input from a user;
- determining whether the speech input is ambiguous;
- if the speech input is not ambiguous, then communicating a token representative of the speech input to an application as input to the application; and
- if the speech input is ambiguous:
  - performing an interaction with the user whereby the user is presented with plural alternatives and selects an alternative from among the plural alternatives, the interaction being performed in at least a visual mode;
  - communicating the selected alternative to the application as input to the application.

12. The method of claim 11, wherein the interaction comprises the concurrent use of said visual mode and said voice mode.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein the interaction comprises the user selecting from among the plural alternatives using a combination of speech and visual-based input.

14. The method of claim 11, wherein the interaction comprises the user selecting from among the plural alternatives using visual input.

\* \* \* \* \*